

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/828,108	04/06/2001	Jon D. Kittelsen	13701-154	6804
32300	7590 04/23/2003			
BRIGGS AND MORGAN, P.A.			EXAMINER	
2400 IDS CEN MINNEAPOL	NTER JIS, MN 55402		BROWN, MICHAEL A	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3764	
			DATE MAILED: 04/23/2003	14

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



Application No.	Applicant(s)		,
08/8 28,108	JON	Kittulser	etu/
Examiner	0	Group Art Unit	1
Michael	Droud	3764	

Office Action Summary -The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet beneath the correspondence address **Period for Reply** A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by default, expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). **Status** ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on ______ ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 1 1; 453 O.G. 213. _____is/are pending in the application. Claim(s) ___ is/are withdrawn from consideration. is/are allowed. ☐ Claim(s) Claim(s)_ _ is/are rejected. ☐ Claim(s)_ _ is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election □ Claim(s) ___ requirement **Application Papers** ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on ___ _____ is approved adisapproved. ☐ The drawing(s) filed on ______ is/are objected to by the Examiner ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d). ☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the: ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. — ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)) *Certified copies not received: __ Attachment(s) ☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413 ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s). _____ ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152 □ Notice of Reference(s) Cited, PTO-892 ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948 ☐ Other__

Office Action Summary

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 11/00)

Part of Paper No.

Application/Control Number: 09/828,108 Page 2

Art Unit: 3764

DETAILED ACTION

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321© may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

2. Claims 1-6 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-6 of U.S. Patent No. 6,505,627. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the

Application/Control Number: 09/828,108 Page 3

Art Unit: 3764

claims recited in the present invention are similar in scope and subject matter to the claims recited in U. S. Patent 6,505,627.

Conclusion

3. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael Brown whose telephone number is (703) 308-2682.

M. Brown April 21, 2003

> Michael A. Brown Primary Examiner

Michael a.B.